





Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5.	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Syed Amir Hussain	SOM	41	8.63	8.17	8	8.27	7.95	9.22	9.39	8.95	9.02	8.56	8.62
Mr. Mohammad Shahid Arshad	EE-I	42	9.79	9.48	9.57	9.64	9.43	9.64	9.52	9.33	9.48	9.55	9.54
Mr. Mohammad Azaz	СТ	42	9.86	9.79	9.79	9.71	9.67	9.64	9.69	9.74	9.55	9.62	9.7 12

silar AW

Dr. Syed Mohammad Ali Professor & Dean Academics Professor & College of Engg. & Tech Anjuman College of Engg. & Tech 12/13/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Syed Amir Hussain	SOM	(1) use E board for fast and effective teaching; (2) he is very good in communication with students; (3) too much strict; (4) average; (5) USE DUSTB; (6) good;
Mr. Mohammad Shahid Arshad	EE-I	(1) good communication; (2) sorry sir; (3) stop commenting on D2 students; (4) nice and effective learning; (5) Excellent;
Mr. Mohammad Azaz	СТ	(1) great voice and effective control; (2) use updated software for power point presentation;





12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedba	ack Average
Mrs. Rashmi Bade	GT-II	42	9.26	8.93	8.81	8.64	8.98	8.79	8.76	9.1	9.69	8.45	8.94	Rede
Mr.Syed Sohiluddin	SA-II	42	9.33	9.1	8.71	9.67	8.57	9.6	9.12	9.5	9.26	9.74	9.26	_ Ation_
Mr.Ansari Aquib Zafar Jamal	RCC	41	8.73	8.41	7.85	8.8	8.39	8.93	8.17	8.88	8.83	8.9	8.59	d
Mr. Mohammad Atif	HWR	41	9.24	8.71	8.88	9.27	9.27	8.8	9.05	9	9	9.1	9.03	Alt
Mr. Mohammad Ameenuddin	FM-I	9	8.78	9	9.11	9.33	9	8.78	9	8.56	9	8.67	8.92	Aw.
Dr. Idris Ahmed	FM-I	34	9.56	9.32	9.53	9.53	9	9.56	9.59	9.53	9.29	9.21	9.41	Ohy.



Dr. Syed Mohammad All
Dr. Syed Mohammad All
Professor & Dean Arademica
Professor & Dean Arademica
Anjuman Covers of Engl. & Tech.

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments	
Mrs. Rashmi Bade	GT-II	(1) Very helpful teacher.; (2) need to focus on numerical and weather student take care; (3) more practice should be taken.; (4) nothing; (5) *;	understand or not ,plz
Mr.Syed Sohiluddin	SA-II	(1) good; (2) nothing; (3) More questions has to be covered;	_ Alan
Mr.Ansari Aquib Zafar Jamal	RCC	(1) good subject knowledge, but poor teaching skills; (2) nothing; (3) Many of the understand some topics;	he students didn't
Mr. Mohammad Atif	HWR	(1) Good; (2) nothing; (3) *; (4) Speed of teachings must be increased;	At
Mr. Mohammad Ameenuddin	FM-I		De
Dr. Idris Ahmed	FM-I	(1) nothing; (2) Teaches very fast; (3) *;	Om.



12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedb Averag	
Mr.Syed Sohiluddin	E&C	40	9.5	9.35	8.82	9.3	8.65	9.28	9.48	9.68	9.35	9.55	9.29	Alo
Mr. Mohammad Azaz	TRE-II	42	9.9	9.81	9.76	9.74	9.88	9.81	9.62	9.74	9.6	9.67	9.75	72
Mr. Sujin S. George	CML	41	9.51	9.32	9.34	9.34	9.1	9.12	8.59	9.39	9.24	9.2	9.21	
Mr. Mohammad Atif	ACS	39	8.97	8.87	8.85	9.26	8.87	8.79	8.97	8.85	9.08	8.36	8.89	A.
Mr. Mohammad Shahid Arshad	APSWM	9	8.89	8.33	8.78	8.22	8.11	8.33	8.56	8.56 ⁻	8.78	8.67	8.52	Mund
Mr.Ansari Aquib Zafar Jamal	ERDS	2	6	6.5	7.5	7	6.5	6	8	6.5	7.5	5	6.65	1
Dr. Idris Ahmed	Advance Hydraulics	4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	



Dr. Syed M. harrmad All
Dr. Syed M. harrmad All
Professor & Osia Academics
Professor & Osia Academics
Anjuman Collegs of Engg. & Tech.

Department of Civil Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr.Syed Sohiluddin	E&C	(1) good; (2) teaching with a high speed; (3) one of the best faculty of cvil department. most panctual man of acet.; (4) He is a really friendly and helpful teacher. He is sincere towards his work. I enjoy learning from him and sitting in his class.; (5) nothing; (6) teaching skill is very good but has a rude behaviour.;
Mr. Mohammad Azaz .	TRE-II	(1) good; (2) very nice performance; (3) good teaching; (4) one of the best faculty of acet.; (5) He is a really hard working teacher and is always worried about the students performance and always takes feedback from the students.;
Mr. Sujin S. George	CML	(1) good; (2) very nice conversation with student; (3) faulty has a polite nature and good teaching skill too.;
Mr. Mohammad Atif	ACS	(1) good; (2) good teaching staff; (3) excellent teaching skill with awesome behaviour with the students and always helpful.;
Mr. Mohammad Shahid Arshad	APSWM	Llung.
Mr.Ansari Aquib Zafar Jamal	ERDS	
Dr. Idris Ahmed	Advance Hydraulics	L settemad All

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Imteyaz Shahzad	CAO	56	7.75	6.04	6.77	5.82	5.62	5.86	7.73	6.14	6.25	6.18	6.42
Prof. Abdul Razzaque	ACPLD	49	7.82	7.37	7.61	7.73	7.29	7.27	7.51	7.55	7.1	7.22	7.45 Dent
Prof. Naveed Zishan	EIT	47	9.28	9.13	9.26	8.74	9.55	9.34	8.77	9.15	8.98	9.19	9.14
Dr. Syed Mohammad Ali	DCFM	48	9.44	9.06	8.81	8.98	8.69	8.62	8.35	9.25	8.81	9.08	8.91
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-III	4	9	8.75	9.75	9.75	10	9.75	10	9.75	10	9.75	9.65
Mr.Mohammad Junaid	M-III	39	9.51	8.15	8.92	9.38	8.54	8.85	8.62	9.26	8.87	8.67	8.88



K

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Imteyaz Shahzad	CAO	(1) ????; (2) No remark needed; (3) Use of cell phone during class; (4) Good One of the best quality is Control class, discipline.; (5) Use less phone; (6) Decent; (7) Quite impulsive and arrogant; (8) Quite Impulsive and arrogant; (9) Friendly with us;
Prof. Abdul Razzaque	ACPLD	(1) ??????; (2) Teaching speed is too slow. Subject knowledge is not proper.; (3) Nice behavior.; (4) Has the potential to teach better; (5) @;
Prof. Naveed Zishan	EIT	(1) ??????; (2) Good Teaching.; (3) Excellent; (4) Excellent faculty; (5) All Good; (6) Impressive; (7) Best faculty ever impressive; (8) Good nature & nice personality;
Dr. Syed Mohammad Ali	DCFM	(1) ???????; (2) Good; (3) Impressivetoo good sir; (4) Very linient and great teaching skills; (5) Great teaching skills;
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-III	(1) ??????;
Mr.Mohammad Junaid	M-III	(1) Good; (2) Excellent faculty; (3) Very Good; (4) Best ever; (5) Best teaching skill and speed; (6) Nice teaching skills;



Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average	
Prof.Mohammad Anwarul Siddique	CAO	59	9.36	9.2	8.97	9.1	9.05	9.08	9.31	9.19	9.12	9.2	9.16	way
Prof. Mohammad Almas Ansari	ACPLD	59	9.69	9.76	9.49	9.56	9.59	9.44	9.39	9.42	9.63	9.58	9.56	سر
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	EIT	55	9.33	9.35	9.49	9.44	9.4	9.45	9.02	9.29	9.38	9.09	9.32 Sar	1
Dr. Ahmed Sajjad Khan ,	DCFM	57	8.95	8.86	8.84	8.67	8.6	8.96	9.26	8.75	8.75	8.68	8.83 40	<i>کے</i>





12/13/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology


Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof.Mohammad Anwarul Siddique	CAO	(1) teaching skill is good , honestly complete all aspect related to subject should improve more teaching;
Prof. Mohammad Almas Ansari	ACPLD	(1) GOOD KNOWLEDGE BUT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT IN COMMUNICATION SKILLS; (2) he is good in c , good knowledge of technologies but still have to improve;
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	EIT	(1) she have very good teaching skills, she very well understand student mentality;
Dr. Ahmed Sajjad Khan	DCFM	(1) BEST EXPERIENCED FACULTY;



4

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Saima Zareen Ansari	ООР	63	9.03	8.71	8.46	9.03	8.7	8.6	9.08	8.75	8.78	8.81	8.8 Sproa
Prof. Naisha Taban	DAA	64	9.2	8.91	8.92	8.84	8.81	8.95	9.19	9.22	8.98	8.88	8.99
Prof. Samina Anjum	CG	65	7.85	7.72	7.65	8.14	7.85	8.03	8.05	8.2	8.18	7.45	7.91
Mr. Tariquzzaman	ĎС	62	8.68	8.34	8.44	8.58	8.19	8.53	8.19	8.31	8.19	8.55	8.4 2 12
Prof. M. S. Khatib	DBMS	3	9	5.67	8.67	8.33	9.67	5.67	9.33	9	9.67	9	8.4
Prof. Sayyed Qudsiya Naaz	DBMS	64	9.11	9.03	9	8.77	8.64	8.77	8.39	8.53	8.61	8.88	8.77





12/13/2018

3

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Saima Zareen Ansari	OOP	(1) No; (2) Vry nice; (3) Everything is good mam.??; (4) good;
Prof. Naisha Taban	DAA	(1) No; (2) Teaches very fast; (3) Mam your teaching speed is very fast and our catching is slow so there is data lost take place.; (4) Good; (5) Teaching is good but it's very fast n difficult.; (6) good;
Prof. Samina Anjum	CG	(1) No; (2) Good; (3) Good teaching but it's very fast; (4) good;
Mr. Tariquzzaman	DC	(1) No; (2) Sir plz control your incoming calls during lectures.; (3) Good; (4) Everything is good.; (5) good;
Prof. M. S. Khatib	DBMS	•
Prof. Sayyed Qudsiya Naaz	DBMS	(1) Good; (2) Bestest mam ever; (3) nice; (4) Very Supportive; (5) Nice; (6) Everything is good??mam.; (7) Best faculty!; (8) She has good teaching skills; (9) good;



Dr. Syell Mohammad All

Dr. Syell Mohammad And Acadamics

Professor & Osar Acadamics

Professor & Osar Acadamics

Anjuman College of Engle Magaur

Sadar, Nagaur

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	,Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Sayyed Qudsiya Naaz	DBMS	10	9.7	9.4	9.6	9.6	9.4	9.2	8.4	9.3	9.5	9.3	9.34
Prof.Sadia Patka	€G	56	9.5	9.11	8.96	8.91	8.89	9.12	8.8	9.07	9.07	9.3	9.07
Prof. Saquib Ahmed	DAA	56	9.41	9.05	9.3	9.16	9.07	9.32	9.59	9.34	9.36	9.43	9.3
Prof. Mohd Tahir	OOP	56	9.02	8.98	8.98	9.05	9.16	8.95	9.07	9.18	9.21	9.16	وندار 9.08
Mr. Tariquzzaman	DC	56	8.86	9.12	8.8	9.14	8.59	8.84	8.91	8.91	8.82	8.73	8.87 300
Prof. M. S. Khatib	DBMS	50	8.82	8.18	7.56	7.72	7.66	8.48	7.72	7.24	7.88	8.74	8





12/13/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Sayyed Qudsiya Naaz	DBMS	
Prof.Sadia Patka	CG	The state of the s
Prof. Saquib Ahmed	DAA	Sag.
Prof. Mohd Tahir	OOP	D.li-
Mr. Tariquzzaman	DC	Zuz
Prof. M. S. Khatib	DBMS	(1) No ;

Dr. Syad Mohammad Afi Dr. Syad Mohammad Afi Professor & Or an Academics Professor & Called of Engg. & Tech. Anjuman Called of Magaur



Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedb	ack Average
Prof. Syed Rehan	мс	45	8.2	8.31	7.69	8.11	7.93	8.31	7.47	7.67	7.89	7.67	7.92	Called
Prof. Nazish Khan	TCP/IP	45	8.53	8.62	8.18	8.11	8.27	8.87	8.56	8.44	8.67	9.11	8.54	Mon
Prof. Imran Ahmad	LP	45	8.04	7.87	7.62	7.84	7.87	7.76	7.73	7.76	8.24	8.11	7.88	•
Dr.Syed Rizwan Ahmed	DWM	45	8.42	7.96	8.29	7.78	7.44	7.53	7.49	7.13	7.29	7.38	7.67	k





Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments	
Prof. Syed Rehan	МС	(1) Rehan sir is a very good teacher. He provides us proper notes, teaches very good, completes syllabus on time, dectates and provides proper notes, provides question bank on time. Best teachers of 7th sem section A. U r very nice sir thank u.; (2) needs to be more interactive!; (3) Good; (4) very good; (5) Good Teacher;	(e) 19
Prof. Nazish Khan	TCP/IP	(1) Respect if someone comes to you for help; (2) Good; (3) Ma'am is full of knowledge We are the unlucky ones wasnt able to attnd classes coz of some reason;	Man
Prof. Imran Ahmad	LP	(1) No; (2) Good; (3) Very sweet and soft spoken Love the way he umderstands students;	D
Dr.Syed Rizwan Ahmed	DWM '	(1) No; (2) Good;	4



Dr. Syad Moldmmad All Professor & De on Reademics Professor & De on Reademics Anjuman Ceilege of Engly & Tech. Sadar, Naggour

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Itrat Fatema	МС	36	7.36	6.39	6.69	6.36	5.89	6.86	6.33	7.22	6.08	6.25	6.54 Jan
Prof. Ritesh G. Shrivastava	TCP/IP	36	8.14	7.97	7.64	8.06	7.61	8.28	7.61	8.11	8.33	8.33	8.01 3 1 2 x
Prof. Manish Assudani	LP	35	7.49	6.51	7	7.2	6.77	6.66	6.57	6.34	6.14	6.11	6.68
Dr. Rahila Sheikh	DWM	38	6.76	6.5	6.53	5.87	5.66	6.53	6.32	6.24	6.18	6.5	6.31





Department of Computer Science and Engingeering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Itrat Fatema	МС	(1) Non Co-operative and not friendly; (2) No; (3) Because of PPT ND projector teachers becomes lazy it's for all accept some of faculty's who don't use projector like Anwar sir ND many all.; (4) Poor teaching skills and full of arrogance Due to projectors faculty become lazy; (5) She doesn't give any notes; (6) Itrat Mam 8s very knowledgeable and very understanding.; (7) good in behaviour and good in class control;
Prof. Ritesh G. Shrivastava	TCP/IP	(1) No; (2) Because of PPT ND projector teachers becomes lazy it's for all accept some of faculty's who don't use projector like Anwar sir ND many all.; (3) Always fumble while teaching; (4) Ritesh Sir is very helpful in studies.; (5) good in nature good behave with students frankly nature;
Prof. Manish Assudani	LP	(1) Everybody know he love to teach all concepts is clear by by Manish . I attend only few lecture but it's Worthy; (2) Good; (3) He doesn't provide any notes and not teach numerical according to student understandable format; (4) Manish Sir is very patient and clear while teaching.; (5) frankly with students and i personally like teaching style and also share a lot of knowledge about stream;
Dr. Rahila Sheikh	DWM	(1) Very nice teacher, pays focus to each and everybody.; (2) Know complete knowledge but as a lectural can't deliver know lege to student properly; (3) Rahila Mam is understanding.; (4) good person and loyal and sharing knowledge with student and also guide students i personally like her;



Dr. Syl M. mood All rics on Projects Col.

12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Pramod Gadge	NA	53	9.13	8.66	8.7	9.04	8.55	8.72	8.79	7.66	8.66	8.4	8.63
Mr.Ishraque Ahmad	NCES	51	8.49	9.02	8.25	8.12	8.27	8.73	7.51	8.65	8.47	8.59	8.41
Mr.Syed Tahir Hussain	ЕМІ	50	7.68	7.82	7.66	7.68	7.62	7.92	7.74	8.44	8.08	8	7.86 Sylatin
Mr. Tirupati Goskula	EDC	45	8.84	8.96	8	8.71	8.02	8.53	8.64	8.56	8	8.98	8.52
Dr. Yusuf Quazi	M-III	48	8.33	8.23	7.71	8.44	7.69	8.08	7.75	8.52	7.4	8.58	8.07

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr.Syed Tahir Hussain	ЕМІ	49	7.06	7.63	6.65	6.59	7.08	7.45	7.16	7.39	6.84	7.14	7.1 Sylate
Mr. Tirupati Goskula	EDC	45	8.2	8.89	8.16	7.87	8.33	8.47	8.22	8.73	8.24	8.62	8.37
Mr. Akil Ahmad	NA	40	8.18	9.1	8.22	8.12	8.43	8.85	8.57	8.98	8.35	8.4	8.52



Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Pramod Gadge	NA	(1) Excellent; (2) Great teacher; (3) A; (4) Slow syllabus teaching; (5) The course must be completed with in the session only; (6) A; (7) 6; (8) Revision should be taken after completion of course; (9) Notes are must; (10) Time is not renaming for revision; (11) Excellent; (12) Good;
Mr.Ishraque Ahmad	NCES	(1) Very good; (2) A; (3) 10; (4) Satisfied; (5) A; (6) Excelente; (7) V Good;
Mr.Syed Tahir Hussain	ЕМІ	(1) Very good; (2) 2; (3) Language skills must be more improve; (4) Excellent; (5) A; (6) Should use move convenient method for teaching; (7) Good;
Mr. Tirupati Goskula	EDC	(1) Very good; (2) A; (3) 6; (4) Good enough; (5) Excellent; (6) Very good; (7) V good;
Dr. Yusuf Quazi	M-III	(1) Very good; (2) 6; (3) Sir teach very fast we can't match sir s speed; (4) Teaching lang should be in more simpler way; (5) Methods should be more simpler; (6) Should use easy merhod for teaching; (7) Methods should be more simple; (8) A; (9) Poor; (10) Good;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr.Syed Tahir Hussain	ЕМІ	(1) Good; (2) 4; (3) A;
Mr. Tirupati Goskula	EDC	(1) Good; (2) A; (3) 5; (4) A; (5) Good;
Mr. Akil Ahmad	NA n	(1) Very add ; (2) A; (3) 5; (4) Best; (5) Good;



12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feed	back Average
Mrs. Yasmin Ansari	PS-I	63	7.81	7.62	7.87	8.3	7.76	8.19	7.9	7.9	8.41	8.21	8	200
Mr.Ishraque Ahmad	UEE	62	6.63	6.94	6.5	6.34	6.34	6.27	6.18	6.68	6.55	6.15	6.46	()
Mrs. Nahid Khan	EMD	59	8.05	7.81	7.63	8.25	7.85	7.85	7.31	7.83	7.78	7.66	7.8	VEX.
Mr. M. Tahseenul Hasan	μP	59	8.53	8.17	8.19	8.15	7.93	8.05	8.02	8.39	7.68	7.97	8.11	Oliv
Dr.Irfan Ahmed	M/C-II	62	9	8.52	8.85	8.47	8.63	8.84	8.55	8.68	8.39	8.48	8.64	

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	.Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mrs. Yasmin Ansari	EDS	50	8.08	8.28	7.34	7.5	7.6	7.9	7.58	7.78	7.8	8.2	7.81
Dr.Irfan Ahmed	M/C-II	47	8.36	8.94	8.47	8.38	8.15	8.15	8.6	8.36	8.4	8.34	8.41
Mrs. Nahid Khan	EEW	51	7.9	8.14	7.98	7.98	8	8.12	7.78	7.88	8.2	8.27	8.03



Dr. Tad Ali Dr. Tech. 12/13/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

0

Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mrs. Yasmin Ansari	PS-I	(1) Need revision; (2) Very good teacher; (3) Excellent; (4) Very good teaching knowledge; (5) Mam ko 10% b subject knowledge nhi hy Pls next semester me mam ko subject na de Plssplsscourse b Pura nhi hua hy; (6) Ko; (7) Teaching skill improvement; (8) Improve teaching Skill; (9) Mam please complete the course; (10) Good teacher in our branch??????; (11) Best supportive teacher in throughout college; (12) Best teacher I ever had;
Mr.Ishraque Ahmad	UEE	(1) Coarse not completed; (2) Good teacher; (3) Overall is good; (4) Very bad; (5) No; (6) Need alot of improvement; (7) Improve teaching skill; (8) Sir you taught but we can't understand because while teaching their are some nuisance in class; (9) Gud teacher;
Mrs. Nahid Khan	EMD	(1) Very very good taacher; (2) Teaches very fast in such a way that we cannot understand in a single time we need to study the same thing more than twice; (3) Excellent; (4) Too good experience; (5) Improve Teaching Skill; (6) Mam please complete unit; (7) Good mam; (8) Cute teacher; (9) Good teacher;
Mr. M. Tahseenul Hasan	μP	(1) Good teacher; (2) excellent; (3) Teaches in a very boring way; (4) Improve teaching skill; (5) Excellent faculty; (6) Good teacher;
Dr.Irfan Ahmed	M/C-II	(1) Coarse not completed; (2) Excellent; (3) Very good teacher; (4) excellent; (5) Mam pls Irfan sir ko final tk her semester me lecture dijiye; (6) A very good teacher; (7) Improve communication Skill; (8) Complete all unit and take more neumerical; (9) Best Sir; (10) Best teacher;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mrs. Yasmin Ansari	EDS	(1) Very good teacher; (2) No; (3) Improved Practical Knowledge; (4) Very helping nature;
Dr.Irfan Ahmed	M/C-II	(1) Very very good teacher; (2) Improve Practical Knowledge; (3) Good teacher;
Mrs. Nahid Khan	EEW	(1) Good teacher; (2) Improve Practical Wewledge; (3) Good teacher;



12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Averag
Mr. Sheikh Mohd. Nawaz	PS-II	48	8.77	8.46	8.04	8.52	8.48	8.67	8.33	8.58	8.98	8.4	8.52 Grun
Dr . Syed Naimuddin	EMA	17	7.59	7.35	7.59	6.82	7.94	7.82	7.71	7.65	7.06	8.06	7.56
Mr. Akil Ahmad	FACTS	39	6.97	6.95	6.51	6.85	6.36	6.82	6.62	6.54	6.18	6.51	6.63
Mrs. Ruhi Uzma Sheikh	HV	44	7.36	7.23	7.45	7.05	7.16	7.61	7.2	7.64	7.52	7.39	7.36
Mr. Mohammad Safique	EID	47	8.83	8.68	8.53	7.89	8.04	8.47	8.55	8.43	8.79	8.68	8.49
Mrs. Archana Shirbhate	CS-II	50	7.88	7.52	7.7	8.04	7.7	8.32	8.06	8.26	8.34	7.96	7.98

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback	k Average
Mr. Mohammad Safique	EID	33	8.67	8.64	8.15	8.42	8.21	8.45	8.12	8.06	8.7	8.36	8.38	gar
Mrs. Ruhi Uzma Sheikh	HV	32	8.22	8.06	7.91	7.88	7.84	8.12	8.03	7.97	7.84	8.06	7.99	July



Dr. Syed (And mad All Professor Length Tech.

Department of Electrical Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments	
Mr. Sheikh Mohd. Nawaz	PS-II	(1) No; (2) All ok; (3) best teacher;	Gul
Dr . Syed Naimuddin	EMA	(1) All ok; (2) Bindaaz Sir;	Blau
Mr. Akil Ahmad	FACTS	(1) No; (2) Good;	
Mrs. Ruhi Uzma Sheikh	HV	(1) No; (2) All ok; (3) Good;	
Mr. Mohammad Safique	EID	(1) No; (2) All ok; (3) Nice;	
Mrs. Archana Shirbhate	CS-II	(1) No; (2) All ok; (3) no communications with students; (4) V good;	Mil

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Mohammad Safique	EID	(1) No;
Mrs. Ruhi Uzma Sheikh .	HV	(1) No;



Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Ms. Najma Ansari	NAS	38	8.18	7.58	7.42	7.39	7.89	7.82	7.55	8.05	7.89	7.55	7.73
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	38	8.76	8.82	8.18	8.24	8.11	8.21	8.63	8.55	7.89	8.63	8.4 Jahry Co
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	34								,			8.72
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	ЕМІ	35	9.29	9.14	8.14	8.74	8.57	9.17	8.46	8.83	8.83	8.89	8.81
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-III	36	8.5	8.11	7.75	7.56	8.03	8.47	8.03	8.47	7.94	8.17	8.1

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	34	8.15	8	8.53	7.38	8.15	8.21	7.47	8.47	7.85	9.06	8.13
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	EMI	35	8.71	9.09	8.91	8.17	9.2	8.77	8.57	8.71	8.63	9.23	8.8
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	34	8.47	8.44	38195	8.24	9.18	8.97	9.06	8.53	9.09	9.06	8.79



Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Ms. Najma Ansari	NAS	(1) Communication with students; (2) Good; (3) ?????????; (4) very good in teaching; (5) good; (6) neture; (7) 10/10; (8) 10/10;
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	(1) ??; (2) very good; (3) good; (4) 10/10; (5) 10/10;
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	(1) Excellent; (2) Good; (3) ?????????; (4) very good; (5) good; (6) 10/10;
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	EMI	(1) Excellent; (2) ??????; (3) very good; (4) good; (5) 10/10; (6) 10/10;
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-III	(1) Very Good; (2) ????; (3) good; (4) good; (5) 10/10; (6) Late in completion of course; (7) 1010;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	(1) Excellent; (2) ??????; (3) good; (4) 10/10; (5) 10/9;
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	EMI	(1) Excellent; (2) ???????; (3) good; (4) 10/10; (5) 10/10;
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	(1) Excellent; (2) ???????; (3) good; (4) verry good teaching; (5) 10/10; (6) Practical file got change at the last moment Due to which it comsumed much time in completion of it; (7) 10/10;



Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

0

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feed	back Average
Ms. Shabnam Rukhsar	NAS	36	7.28	6.36	7.28	8	7.69	8.17	7.83	8.44	7.58	6.39	7.5	. As
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	36	8.31	7.81	8.17	7.78	7.75	8.08	8.14	8.14	7.86	8.06	8.01	John Ry.
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	36	9.31	9.25	9.19	8.81	9.06	9.19	9.36	9.17	9.03	9.33	9.17	
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	EMI	37	9.19	9.51	8.92	8.97	8.7	9.19	8.73	8.92	9.38	9.03	9.05	Am-
Ms. Shagufta Jamal	M-III	36	8.03	7.08	8	8.44	8.22	8.17	8.19	8	8	8.06	8.02	

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	3	8	7	7.67	8	8.33	8.67	6.67	7.67	7.67	8	7.77 July
Mr. Wasim Maroofi	EMI	35	8.57	8.43	8.54	8.17	. 8	8.51	8.37	8.77	8.06	8.4	8.38
Mr. Syed Rizwan Ali	EDC	34	7.97	8.53	8.53	7.82	8.38	8.29	8.03	7.88	7.65	7.94	8.1 N
Prof.Sadia Patka	OOPS & DS	35	8.11	8.26	7.71	7.54	₩.83	7	8.29	7.8	7.89	7.8	7.82



JOST The Syed and Track Took Took Took

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Ms. Shabnam Rukhsar	NAS	(1) Syllabus is very back At this time second unit is going Plz take extra classes after CAT exam; (2) Syllabus is not completed 2nd unit is running,good teaching; (3) Good; (4) no; (5) ??; (6) Good teach; (7) Good; (8) Good; (9) Satisfactory; (10) Good teaching but slow teaching speed; (11) ok;
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	(1) no; (2) Good; (3) ??; (4) Good teach; (5) Syllabus is not completed; (6) Excellent; (7) Good; (8) satisfactory; (9) Fast teaching i don't understand; (10) she is very good; (11) she has very good teaching skills; (12) ok;
Mr. Syed Irfan Ali	EDC	(1) no; (2) Good teaching skills; (3) 100%good teachinh; (4) Very good teach; (5) Good; (6) Excellent; (7) Good; (8) Very very very very good Excellent teaching; (9) Good knowledge and helpful understanding toward student; (10) very good;
Mr. Firoz Ahmed Siddiqui	ЕМІ	(1) no; (2) ?; (3) Very very good teaching; (4) Good; (5) Good; (6) Good teaching; (7) Good; (8) Good teaching; (9) good;
Ms. Shagufta Jamal	M-III	(1) no; (2) Good teaching; (3) ????; (4) Good; (5) Good; (6) Very bad; (7) Good; (8) ok;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Prof. Farheena Shaikh	OOPS & DS	(1) no; (1) (1)
Mr. Wasim Maroofi	EMI	(1) no; (2) Good; (3) ??; (4) Good; (5) I wil give negative marking; (6) Good teaching; (7) Good; (8) ok;
Mr. Syed Rizwan Ali	EDC	(1) no; (2) Good; (3) Good; (4) Very baad i will give negative marking; (5) Good; (6) very good;
Prof.Sadia Patka	OOPS & DS	(1) Very good; (2) (3) Good; (4) Good; (5) Very good; (6) ok;



12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

0

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Muzaffar Khan	CE	65	8.85	8.2	8.26	8.31	8.05	8.55	8.18	8.32	7.94	7.85	8.25
Mr. Mohammad Nasiruddin	MP & MC	66	9.67	9.27	9.56	9.24	8.65	9.29	9.59	9.53	9.17	9.32	9.33
Mrs. Nawaz Khan	IEED	66	9.59	9.48	9.42	9.23	9.23	9.45	9.26	9.12	9.06	9.27	9.31
Mrs. Mohsina Anjum	ACD	64	8.88	8.86	8.94	9.09	8.62	8.88	8.69	8.89	8.77	8.81	8.84
Mr. Akbar Nagani	AWP	66	8.91	8.89	8.65	8.26	8.74	8.64	9	8.92	8.8	8.58	8.74

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	MP & MC	51	9.45	9.39	9.33	9.18	9.16	9.31	8.78	9.1	8.94	9.12	9.18
Mr. Muzaffar Khan	CE	53	9.32	8.55	8.42	8.08	7.96	8.66	8.25	8.42	8.11	7.98	8.37
Mrs. Mohsina Anjum	ACD	56	9.34	9.04	9.12	9.09	8.86	8.98	8.66	9.07	9.11	9	9.03
Mr. Mohammad Nasiruddin	MP & MC	4	10	10,0 N	9.75	9.75	9.25	10	10	10	10	9.75	9.85



Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Muzaffar Khan	CE	(1) Please complete the syllabus and give notes unitwise; (2) Extra knowledge given for the future life; (3) BEST TEACHER; (4) Very deep understanding of subject; (5) Other teachers should follow muzaffar sir as he provides best possible way to do work without pressuring students Ease of giving nots. Ease of providing internals. Ease of everything. Thankuu sir for ur support and guidance.;
Mr. Mohammad Nasiruddin	MP & MC	(1) Seriously sir is very nice great job in his work excellent knowledge in his subject; (2) Very nice very good Talented hod sir; (3) Good teaching for the subject; (4) Sir takes lots of efforts to teach us; (5) Good teaching skills; (6) Excellent teaching.; (7) TEACHES IN A VERY SIMPLE AND UNDERSTANDABLE LANGUAGE. BEST TEACHER OF ACET ALL THE BEST FOR FUTURE.; (8) Very excellent faculty; (9) He is one of the best teaching faculty in anjuman The best part as he is one of the senior faculty he don't have any attitude to tell answer of an silly questions by any of the student he tells the answer in such a way the a student can remember. Even he may answer in next lecture but he will answer and he rem members the question too Great sir keep it up:); (10) The only problem is with speed, other then this everything is perfect;
Mrs. Nawaz Khan	IEED	(1) Behavior is like a caring MOTHER; (2) Good; (3) MASTER IN HER SUBJECT!!!! I SALUTE YOU MAAM BEST OF LUCK FOR FUTURE; (4) She guide us like our mornshe is one of the best mam in our ACET. Her teaching skills are really great and helpful for students to understand easily thanku mam hope u teach us in next sem to. #OurMom:);
Mrs. Mohsina Anjum	ACD	(1) Helpful; (2) DERIVATION WAS EXPLAINED EXCELLENTLY, ALSO THEORY BEST OF LUCK MAAM; (3) MAM IS TO GOOD AND HER TEACHING IS WONDERFULL; (4) Most supportive mam in extc department she helps a lot regarding every thing thanku mam for ur support in ACD subject.;
Mr. Akbar Nagani	AWP	(1) Helpful; (2) Voice is not clear; (3) TEACHING IS VERY IMPRESSIVE AND HAS A GOOD COMMAN ON THE SUBJECT ALL THE BEST FOR FUTURE; (4) Had Smart studies on smar board; (5) Great sir. But Sir should provide more opportunity for boosting their internal marks as other teachers do As AWP is not an easy subject but sir has cover up course very well.;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	MP & MC	(1) Badiya sir; (2) Best teacher of acet; (3) supportive sir;
Mr. Muzaffar Khan	CE	(1) had great experience doing practicals;
Mrs. Mohsina Anjum	ACD	(1) Good ;
Mr. Mohammad Nasiruddin	MP & MC	(18 greath)



12/6/2018

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Tafhim Khan	DSP P & A	41	9.15	9	9.32	9.07	9.07	8.88	9.2	8.85	9.27	9.34	9.11
Mr. Syed Akbar Ali	ос	40	8.2	8.35	8.28	8.57	8.05	7.88	7.88	8.07	8.15	8.07	8.15
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	TV & VE	39	8.21	8.62	8.21	7.97	8.21	8	8.15	8.26	8.21	8.13	8.19
Mrs. Ruhina Quazi	VLSI SP	40	8.57	7.95	8,3	8.57	8.25	8.1	8.65	8.25	8.55	8.07	8.33
Mr. Wasim Maroofi	ADSD	36	9.42	9.33	9.25	9.44	9.31	9.14	9.17	9.22	9.25	9.33	9.29 Naour

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Rahil Khan	ADSD	31	7.97	7.81	7.55	7.45	7.1	7.13	8.1	7.35	8.1	7.45	7.6 K. Ha
Mr. Tafhim Khan	DSP P & A	27	8.96	8.48	8.59	8.96	8.22	8.04	8.59	8.19	8.56	7.81	8.44
Mr. Syed Akbar Ali	TV & VE	29	8.34	8.31	8.17	8.24	8.17	8.31	8.45	8.1	8.34	8.52	8.3



Dr. 34 of Phammad All professor of English Tech. Anjuman Cologo of English Secan Neggur

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments	
Mr. Tafhim Khan	DSP P & A	(1) good teaching; (2) awesome sir;	
Mr. Syed Akbar Ali	ос	(1) poor knowledge & bad behaviour; (2) A;	W Jas
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	TV & VE		C.
Mrs. Ruhina Quazi	VLSI SP	(1) Pretty cool mam; (2) more classes should be taken; (3) Good;	To!
Mr. Wasim Maroofi	ADSD	(1) awesome sir;	Naom

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Rahil Khan	ADSD	
Mr. Tafhirn Khan	DSP P & A	
Mr. Syed Akbar Ali	TV & VE	



day I

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Tafhim Khan	DSPP&A	31	8.48	8.61	8.61	8.97	8.26	8.45	8.26	8.71	8.9	8.03	8.53
Mr. Khwaja Ramizuddin	ос	33	8.73	7.94	8.33	7.97	8.21	8.3	8.91	8.45	8.42	8.06	8.33
Mr. Sanjay Ganar	TV & VE	34	8.41	7.68	7.53	7.29	7.65	7.97	7.47	8.15	8.12	7.74	7.8
Ms. Iram Nausheen	ADSD	30	8.2	8.23	8.9	8.53	8.7	8.57	8.77	8.13	8.8	8.87	8.57
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	VLSI SP	32	8.19	7.97	8.06	7.97	8.16	8.34	8.31	8.12	8.47	7.81	8.14

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedbac	k Average
Mr. Syed Rizwan Ali	ADSD	47	6.23	6.11	6.13	6	5.45	6.6	5.83	6.4	5.66	5.96	6.04	m
Mr. Sanjay Ganar	TV & VE	24	8.25	8.29	7.96	7.62	7.79	8.17	7.92	8.33	7.75	8.21	8.03	



Or. S. Tech.

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Tafhim Khan	DSPP&A	(1) Good teacher. ; (2) 7;
Mr. Khwaja Ramizuddin	ос	(1) Does not care about students. Students are not at all benefits from q.b. Q. B means less question not complete syllabus Students are not ??; (2) 6;
Mr. Sanjay Ganar	TV & VE	(1) 4; (2) Kindly try to improve ur teaching skills and u should get the capability of attracting students attention;
Ms. Iram Nausheen	ADSD	(1) Taught excellently; (2) Very good teacher; (3) Excellent teaching and very helpful; (4) 8;
Mr. Mohammad Amin Sultan	VLSI SP	(1) Important questions should be teach first like last unit numericals; (2) 7;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty						
Mr. Syed Rizwan Ali	ADSD	(1) No practical complete Only tp in practical; (3) 4	d properly. Students don' ; (4) 4;	t know how to operate s	oftware quartus; (2) Not o	ne output was coming In ccs
Mr. Sanjay Ganar	TV & VE	(1) Good ; (2) 7;	ž:	1874	Ø1 :+	

Dr. Syed Manager Academics Professor School Engly & Tech.

33

Department of Mechanical Engineerng Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Bilal Abdullah Baig	EMMS	41	9.2	9.1	8.88	8.8	9	8.98	8.88	9.46	9.32	9.41	9.1
Dr. Mrs. Tanuja Vaidya	ком	41	7.73	7.85	7.63	7.93	7.17	7.66	7.34	8.15	8.39	7.93	7.78
Sajid Hameed Siddiqui	FM	39	9.64	9.67	9.59	9.64	9.54	9.77	9.46	9.72	9.23	9.82	9.61
Mr.Mohammad Aasim	MP	39	8.41	8.46	8.03	8.46	7.62	8.18	8.18	8.33	8.15	8.46	8.23
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-3	39	8.74	7.85	8.05	8.54	8.26	8.28	8.51	8.62	8.51	8.26	8.36

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr Shyamal Chakraborty	MD	26	8.96	8.58	8.35	8	8.35	8.58	8.27	8.73	8.54	8.27	8.46
Mr.Mohammad Aasim	MP	26	8.31	8.23	8.19	8.12	8.23	8.38	7.81	8.12	7.92	8.12	8.14
Mr. Bilal Abdullah Baig	EMMS	26	9.27	9.04	8.42	8.92	8.92	9	8.46	8.65	8.88	9.38	8.9



W

Department of Mechanical Engineerng Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments	
Mr. Bilal Abdullah Baig	EMMS	(1) no; (2) bhut hi jabardast sir hai; (3) Good sir; (4) Very good; (5) Very good; (6) Excellent teaching; (7) excellent; (8) revision needed; (9) VERY GOOD CONTROL OVER CLASS.; (10) PARTIONITY; (11) Very good teaching;	4
Dr. Mrs. Tanuja Vaidya	ком	(1) no; (2) excellent leaching; (3) good performance; (4) tough to understand; (5) Very good; (6) Good; (7) revision needed;	Jane 1
Sajid Hameed Siddiqui	FM	(1) no; (2) excellent teaching; (3); (4) Very good; (5) Excellent; (6) Very experienced faculty; (7) very good; (8) revision needed; (9) BEST TEACHING EVER;	S
Mr.Mohammad Aasim	МР	(1) no; (2) goood; (3) Very good; (4) Very good; (5) good;	K
Ms. Samina Anjum	M-3	(1) goood; (2) awsome teaching; (3) course is not completed; (4) Very good; (5) Very good; (6) full revision needed;	

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr Shyamal Chakraborty	MD	(1) goood; (2) Very good; (3) Good; (4) good; (5) need full marks in every sheets; (6) GREAT TEACHING SKILLS.;
Mr.Mohammad Aasim	MP	(1) good; (2) Very good; (3) Very good; (4) good but strict;
Mr. Bilal Abdullah Baig	EMMS	(1) good; (2) Very good; (3) Excellent; (4) awesome; (5) GREAT TEACHING SKILLS.;



Dr. A. Z. San Jag All Control of Party of Learn Control of Tech.

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4 :	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedba	ck Average
Mr. Mohd . Shakebuddin	KOM	37	9.62	8.22	8.54	8.95	8.62	8.57	8.54	8.24	8.24	8.41	8.59	
Dr. Mrs. Tanuja Vaidya	EMMS	38	7.97	7.53	6.29	6.97	6.26	7.11	7	7.26	7.55	7.05	7.1	Junk
Mr. Hakimuddin .A. Hussain	FM	36	9.17	8.69	8.69	8.83	8.83	8.78	8.92	8.64	9	8.81	8.84	(h)
Mr.Hizaifa A.Fidvi	MP	38	9.42	9.45	9.03	9.26	9	9.47	9.29	9.58	9.34	9.66	9.35	Hazaif
Ms. Asia Ansari	M-3	35	8.26	7.63	7.63	8.17	8	7.86	7.97	8.06	8.03	8.09	7.97	As

L

Dr. Syed it is the constraint of the constraint

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:3 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Mohd . Shakebuddin	ком	(1) EXCELLENT; (2) Better!!; (3) EXCELLENT; (4) good teacher with ampoe amount of knowledge regarding subject; (5) No remark; (6) Teaching skill is good; (7) 9;
Dr. Mrs. Tanuja Vaidya	EMMS	(1) GOOD; (2) Good; (3) EXCELLENT; (4) No remark;
Mr. Hakimuddin .A. Hussain	FM	(1) excellent; (2) Best!!; (3) EXCELLENT; (4) EXCELLENT; (5) very good sir knowledgeable humble and awsome teaching skills; (6) He just teaches and go and didn't interact with the students; (7) No remark; (8) Have a great problem solving techniques;
Mr.Hizaifa A.Fidvi	MP	(1) excellent; (2) Best faculty!!; (3) EXCELLENT; (4) good sir with subject knowledge and also good example giving while teaching; (5) No remark; (6) Atahich hai 7 star;
Ms. Asia Ansari	M-3	(1) Excellent; (2) Best!!; (3) No remark; (4) If the student is present in the class and absent on yesterday then mam marks absent for two days;



Or. Syed An Trad All Sech.
Protest Suits: Nayour Anjuman Suits: Nayour

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Theory Feedback

neory reedback	Subject	Out of	01	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Name of Faculty	Subject		-	-	7.70	0.2	7.86	8.21	7.89	8.38	8.23	8.29	8.08
Mr. Mohammad Zubair M.Rafique	MMM	56	7.54	8.39	7.73	8.3	7.00						10 10
Mr.Hizaifa A.Fidvi	APP	53	9.19	8.94	9.11	8.66	8.72	8.72	8.85	8.85	9	8.96	8.9 Thyaif
	DME	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9.5	10	10	9.95
Mr. Mohammad Nausad Alam	DIVIE	-			-				F 05	5.89	5.89	4.63	5.49
Mrs. Namrata . V. Lotia	HT	54	6.24	5.02	5.2	5.48	5.31	5.37	5.85	5.09	5.05	4.00	0.10
	DME	54	9.43	9.22	9.06	8.93	9.06	9.13	8.76	9.13	9.24	9.57	9.15
Syed Sajid Ahmad	DIVIL		_		1.39	-		7.05	7 55	8.13	6.62	7.29	7.26
A.M.Sheikh	IEED	55	8.36	7.76	7.07	6.22	5.91	7.65	7.55	0.10	0.02	1,20	112

Dr. Syes of English Tech.

26

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Mohammad Zubair M.Rafique	МММ	(1) Good sir; (2) He just should not read the chapters and topics but take the initiative to give the answer to student's questions.; (3) Sir should provide notes; (4) Good; (5) Good keep it up sir!!!; (6) Good; (7) Very good; (8) Good; (9) No; (10) Thank you very much sir.????; (11) Need to improve knowledge about subject; (12) Sir should improve some advance knowledge, overall performance is great, keep going;
Mr.Hizaifa A.Fidvi	APP	(1) Best teacher ever; (2) Excellent ??; (3) Excellent; (4) Excellent; (5) Nice teacher; (6) No; (7) No remark; (8) Best man with grip over everything and best at whateva he does!;
Mr. Mohammad Nausad Alam	DME	(1) Extraordinary excellent teaching??;

Dr. Syed Mah Mad All
Protesson & Tech.
Protesson & Tech.
Anjumen Columbia Majaur

4

12/13/2018		A	
Mrs. Namrata . V. Lotia	нт	(1) Good; (2) Can do better teaching with very slow speed need to enhance teaching skills; (3) Teaching skill are not good very poor and don't understand the weakness of the student and don't explain according to them; (4) We get no proper notes from teacher. None of a concept gets clear And please stop threating students for attendance and marks; (5) Badoverall.should be changed; (6) Don't teaches practicals properly. Always scolds and threaten students for attendance. Does not clear concepts. Not supportive to students. Always demotivated students.; (7) Not supportive Threatens for attendance Our concepts never gets clear Not a single notes she provides from her side Gets angry when you ask about something; (8) teacher have very over ego; (9) Bad teacher; (10) Never understands students requirements When you ask something shows arrogance gets angry everytime Our concept nver gets clear not supportive; (11) Good; (12) No planning and preparation before teaching new topic; (13) Need improvement; (14) Nice teaching; (15) Don't try to demoralise students should motivate as every student can If he wants; (16) IDK if interrogation of students as the class starts helps teaching;	
Syed Sajid Ahmad	DME	(1) Extraordinary excellent; (2) Very good and my best teacher; (3) Please teach us in the next semester too!!; (4) Excellent; (5) Very good; (6) Excellent; (7) Good; (8) Mast sir hai; (9) excellent teacher with excellent knowledge and teaching skills; (10) NO; (11) Good teaching all like it; (12) No comments; (13) Excellent teacher with great knowledge and We love to Athend his classes; (14) One of the most friendly and supporitive teacher;	
A.M.Sheikh	IEED	(1) Very good teacher; (2) Good; (3) Very supportive sir; (4) Good; (5) Teachingway; (6) No; (7) No remark; (8) Should behave in a very Polite manner, Thank You; (9) Please don't comment on religious topics please; (10) Please don't comment on religious topics please;	

A.

Or. Syed the timned All Protestor.

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Kiriti .Kumar Khandelwal	ммм	39	7.15	7.18	6.38	7	6.82	6.82	6.77	7.28	7.67	7	7.01
Mr. Shahzad Ahmad	DME	39	8.23	8.26	8.13	8.13	7.97	8.08	8.21	8,13	8.28	7.77	8.12 201
Mr.Pramod N.Wadaskar	APP	39	7	6.95	6.46	6.18	6.23	6.69	7.79	7	6.56	6.85	6.77 Prila.
Sajid Hameed Siddiqui	Нт	40	9.57	9.32	9.38	9.48	9.35	9.35	9.5	9.35	9.43	9.5	9.42
A.M.Sheikh	IEED	38	9	8.21	7.42	7.05	7.32	7.89	7.84	7.92	7.42	8	7.81

1

Dr. Syed 11 V hmad All Dr. Syed 11 V hmad All Professor Security Royand Anjuman Security November 1

.,

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:5 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Kiriti .Kumar Khandelwal	ммм	(1) Good teaching method and skill; (2) He has a good knowledge but he is unable to explain things properly; (3) 3/10; (4) sir is to good for us and its a very helpfull cordinator as compear to other semister we love him; (5) 2/10; (6) Not bad;
Mr. Shahzad Ahmad	DME	(1) 8/10; (2) the out standing sir; (3) 6/10; (4) Excellent;
Mr.Pramod N.Wadaskar	APP	(1) 8/10; (2) cut & partetion is not a one word of an object we hear u sir pleasr currect ur lenguage with student ARE COLLOGE KE H TO KYA HUA H TO STUDENT HE NA; (3) 8/10; (4) Time waste;
Sajid Hameed Siddiqui	нт	(1) He is a legend.; (2) 10/10; (3) are bol diya sir ur great; (4) 9/10; (5) Excellent for teaching;
A.M.Sheikh	IEED	(1) excelent.; (2) 6/10; (3) thanks to sir to tech us; (4) 7/10; (5) Good;



Dr. Syed him Tod All Prolesson South August Stech.

Department of Mechanical Engineerng Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Theory	Feedback
--------	----------

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Av	erage
Mr. Khawaja .lzhar . Ahmad	IE	43	9.74	9.44	9.02	8.98	9.16	9.33	9.28	9.44	8.84	9.23	9.25	
Mr. M. Sohail Pervez 2	DMD	40	9.57	9.05	9.35	9.2	9.18	9.15	9.35	8.82	9.12	8.72	9.15	
Mr. Mohammad Nematullah Nasim 3	AE	39	8.97	8.13	8.13	7.23	8.36	8.46	8.38	8.41	7.21	7.79	8.11	200
Mr. Amir Jamil Khan	CAD X	13	6.62	6	5.46	5.85	6	5.92	6.23	6.23	6.46	6.38	6.12	V
Mrs. Namrata . V. Lotia	EC-IIX	14	7	6.07	5.79	6	6.07	6	5.86	5.86	6.36	6.29	6.13	
Mr. Mohd . Shakebuddin 4	CAD	31	7.65	7.32	7.77	8.35	7.52	7.19	7.61	6.42	7.55	7.06	7.45	
Mr Shyamal Chakraborty 5	EC-II	31	8.1	8.06	8.23	8.16	7.55	8.06	7	8.23	7.87	7.97	7.92	





Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	
Mr. Khawaja .lzhar . Ahmad	IE	(1) excellent faculty; (2) Good; (3) One of the best faculty; (4) 95; (5) best; (6) good; (7) Very good; (8) good teacher;
Mr. M. Sohail Pervez	DMD	(1) Fvrt sir; (2) 50; (3) good;
Mr. Mohammad Nematullah Nasim	AE	(1) Good; (2) 10; (3) good;
Mr. Amir Jamil Khan	CAD	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Mrs. Namrata . V. Lotia	EC-II	•
Mr. Mohd . Shakebuddin	CAD	(1) Mashallah; (2) 70; (3) good;
Mr Shyamal Chakraborty	EC-II	(1) Jaan h; (2) 50; (3) good; (A)

6

Dr. Sye i Varianmad All Protestor a large of Engle & Tech.

Scanned by CamScanner

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

6

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5 .	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Atul .P. Ganorkar	IE	53	7.49	6.83	6.45	6.43	6	6.51	6.06	7.57	7.25	6.85	6.74
Mr. Nafees Pervez Khan	EC-II	51	9.51	9.2	9.41	9.39	9.35	9.53	9.22	9.25	9.43	9.35	9.36
Mr.Pramod N.Wadaskar	AE	50	6.58	5.92	5.98	4.96	6.18	5.78	7.48	6.64	6.18	6.24	6.19 Pri
Mr. Ravindra .N. Dehankar	DMD	51	7.88	7.22	7	6.96	6.96	7.29	7.61	7.37	7.41	7.2	7.29
Mr. Mehd - Shakebuddin	CAD-	15	5	5	5	5	6	5	4	4	5	5	4.9 🗶
Mr. Amir Jamil Khan	CAD	50	7.74	7.8	7.54	7.88	7.56	7.56	6.46	7.86	7.96	7.44	7.58



Dr. Sycal de la Lacon de Lacon

Scanned by CamScanner

Department of Mechanical Engineerng

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:7 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Atul .P. Ganorkar	IE	(1) kindly produce this type of faculties more in number.; (2) lawdegqandu; (3) productivy is very less and; (4) excellent knowledge of the subject.; (5) not able to summarise the topic; (6) good; (7) Improper Teaching, Bad way of Talking, bad behaiver.; (8) very fast .bad teaching; (9) good; (10) Need some other teacher; (11) Good; (12) satisfactory;
Mr. Nafees Pervez Khan	EC-II	(1) good faculty and teaching speed is also good ,kindly produce more faculty; (2) kindly ask other teachers to learn how to teach from nafees sir.; (3) very good teacher; (4) helpful faculty; (5) excelent; (6) excellent way to teach; (7) Very fast .very very fast tech due to which I cant understand; (8) TEACHING SPEED IS LITTLE FAST; (9) good; (10) v good in teaching/every think; (11) Best teacher & excellent skills about the teaching in whole mechanical department; (12) Best faculty in mechanical; (13) Excellent;

8

Dr. Syed Moleculard All Dr. Syed Moleculard Academics Profess Anjunen Sacun, Nagaria

21			

Mr.Pramod N.Wadaskar	AE	(1) excellent knowledge of the subject.; (2) excellent knowledge about the subject; (3) students ko daratha hai or galiya bakta hai chutka mundi hilane nahi ndeta hai lawde ne paper bigad dia aur OHP se pura subject padaya; (4) bad comminication skill sir ke pass ethics nahi hai ke bacho se kayse baaat krte hai bacho ko harassement karta bache mentally distruby hai bacho ki samne bulake gand marta ladkiyo ko tadta haii; (5) WORST COMMUNICATION FOR STUDENTS.; (6) doesnt have much knowledge about subject; (7) Good teaching .; (8) MAKE IMPROVE IN KNOWLEDGE; (9) good; (10) communication with students is not proper teacher should have to concentrate on teaching instead of scaring them; (11) Always shows rude behaviour towards students. Donot supportive. Way of talking towards the student is not so good. Always look for troubling the students.; (12) Excellent; (13) He needs to change his behaviour very badly He is super duper rude personality of mechanical department; (14) Best Teacher Give More Information About Subject.; (15) Very good;
Mr. Ravindra .N. Dehankar	DMD	(1) knowledge about the subject is excellent.; (2) ask him to make his own design data book as he is having excellent knowledge of design.; (3) no one teaches numericals orally; (4) dmd koe orally padata hai kya; (5) DMD koe orally padaya hai B.D SHIVALKAR ki marta hai; (6) verbally teaching thats not good; (7) gud; (8) GOOD KNOWLEDGE; (9) very bad teaching .Very bad performance no doubt clear boead handwriting very bad; (10) good; (11) DONT USE BLACK BOARD;
Mr. Mohd . Shakebuddin	CAD	
Mr. Amir Jamil Khan	CAD ,	(1) I would be lucky if I may be able to acquire his level of knowledge.; (2) not able to teach the topic; (3) good; (4) GOOD KNOWLEDGE COMMUNICATION GOOD; (5) good; (6) Excellent; (7) Needs to Focus on controlling the class and needs to make his voice more hard; (8) Very good;

R

Or. Syed All ninmed All Academics Professor Academics Ac

2,

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Science and Humanities Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (A)

Subject	Out of	Q1	C	2 0	13	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average	
	-	-		26 5	65	8.03	6.38	6,88	7.79	7.74	7.32	6.82	7.04 (Sin1)	
		-			77	-	8.9	8.79	9.31	8.55	5.69	8.62	8.78 Jastocrov	
	-	-	-				-	8 93	8.67	8.89	9.07	8.53	8.9 Prox. 1	
M-1		-			,					9.46	9.04	8.81	8.87	
8EE		-									8.2	7.84	8.02 Rade	
BCE	25	8.4	8					-	-			-	8.96	
EG-1	11	8.7	3 7	.91 9								-	6.49	
cs	7	6.4	3 5	5.14 7	.43	7.57	5.86	6.14	5.43	7.29	6.80	0.71	0.43	
						-						-		
Subject	Out	of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Cle	Ω7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average	
EP	17		7.82	7.53	7.12	7.06	6.88	6.71	8.12	7.47	7.41		7.34 (A) 1360	
EC	16		9.38	9.12	9.44	9.81	9.31	9.12	9,38	9.56	10	9.38	9.45 N Jagar	
BEE	14		9 .	9	9.29	8.64	9.36	8.5	8.93	9.5	9.57	9.21	2.1	
COMSKILLS	9		8	9,44	8.44	8.22	9.22	8.33	8.44	9.33	9,56	8.22	8.72	
cs	4		7	6	6.5	6	6.25	5.25	7.25	6.25	7.25	6.75	6.45	
BCE	13		8.85	9.31	9.23	8.62	7.92	8.46	8.62	9.46	9.31	9.15	8.89	
COM.SKILLS	3		9.33	9	9.33	9.33	9	9	9.33	9	9	8.67	9.1 Bill	
EG-1	6		10	8	8,83	8.33			8	10	8.17	9.67	8.75	
	3		8.67	8	8.33	- 18 A	3 78Ch	8.33	9	8	7.67	7.33	8.07	
	EG-1 CS Subject EP EC BEE COM.SKILLS CS BCE COM.SKILLS	EP 34 EC 29 M-1 27 BEE 26 BCE 25 EG-1 11 CS 7 Subject Out EP 17 EC 16 BEE 14 COM.SKILLS 9 CS 4 BCE 13 COM.SKILLS 3	EP 34 7.4 EC 29 8.7 M-1 27 9.1 BEE 26 8.8 BCE 25 8.4 EG-1 11 8.7 CS 7 6.4 Subject Out of EP 17 EC 16 BEE 14 COM.SKILLS 9 CS 4 BCE 13 COM.SKILLS 3	EP 34 7.47 6 EC 29 8.79 8 M-1 27 9.11 9 BEE 26 8.85 8 BCE 25 8.4 8 EG-1 11 8.73 7 CS 7 6.43 5 Subject Out of Q1 EP 17 7.82 EC 16 9.38 BEE 14 9 COM.SKILLS 9 8 CS 4 7 BCE 13 8.85 COM.SKILLS 3 9.33	EP 34 7.47 6.26 5 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8 M-1 27 9.11 9 6 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7 Subject Out of Q1 Q2 EP 17 7.82 7.53 EC 16 9.38 9.12 BEE 14 9 9 COM.SKILLS 9 8 9.44 CS 4 7 6 BCE 13 8.85 9.31 COM.SKILLS 3 9.33 9	EP 34 7.47 6.26 5.65 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8.59 M-1 27 9.11 9 8.3 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8.58 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7.84 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9.55 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7.43 Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 EP 17 7.82 7.53 7.12 EC 16 9.38 9.12 9.44 BEE 14 9 9 9.29 COM.SKILLS 9 8 9.44 8.44 CS 4 7 6 6.5 BCE 13 8.85 9.31 9.23 COM.SKILLS 3 9.33 9 9.33	EP 34 7.47 6.26 5.65 8.03 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8.59 8.9 M-1 27 9.11 9 8.3 9.33 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8.58 9.35 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7.84 7.96 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9.55 9 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7.43 7.57 Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 EP 17 7.82 7.53 7.12 7.06 EC 16 9.38 9.12 9.44 9.81 BEE 14 9 9 9.29 8.64 COM.SKILLS 9 8 9.44 8.44 8.22 CS 4 7 6 6.5 6 BCE 13 8.85 9.31 9.23 8.62 COM.SKILLS 3 9.33 9 9.33 9.33	EP 34 7.47 6.26 5.65 8.03 6.38 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8.59 8.9 8.9 8.9 M-1 27 9.11 9 8.3 9.33 9.11 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8.58 9.35 8.73 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7.84 7.96 7.52 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9.55 9 8.64 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7.43 7.57 5.86 SUBject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 EP 17 7.82 7.53 7.12 7.06 6.88 EC 16 9.38 9.12 9.44 9.81 9.31 BEE 14 9 9 9.29 8.64 9.36 COM.SKILLS 9 8 9.44 8.44 8.22 9.22 CS 4 7 6 6.5 6 6.25 BCE 13 8.85 9.31 9.23 8.62 7.92 COM.SKILLS 3 9.33 9 9.33 9.33 9	Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 G8 G8	Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q2 Q3 C4 Q2 Q3 C4 Q2 Q3 C4 Q2 Q3 C4 Q2 Q3 C5 C5	Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 7.79 7.74 EP 34 7,47 6.26 5.65 8.03 6.38 6.88 7.79 7.74 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8.59 8.9 8.9 8.79 9.31 8.55 M-1 27 9.11 9 8.3 9.33 9.11 8.93 8.67 8.89 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8.58 9.35 8.73 9.15 7.73 9.46 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7.84 7.96 7.52 7.88 7.6 8.72 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9.55 9 8.64 8.73 8 9.64 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7.43 7.57 5.86 6.14 5.43 7.29 Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6	Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 7.74 7.32 EP 34 7.47 6.26 5.65 8.03 6.38 6.88 7.79 7.74 7.32 EC 29 8.79 8.62 8.59 8.9 8.9 8.79 9.31 8.55 8.69 M-1 27 9.11 9 8.3 9.33 9.11 8.93 8.67 8.89 9.07 BEE 26 8.85 8.96 8.58 9.35 8.73 9.15 7.73 9.46 9.04 BCE 25 8.4 8.2 7.84 7.96 7.52 7.88 7.6 8.72 8.2 EG-1 11 8.73 7.91 9.55 9 8.64 8.73 8 9.64 9.73 CS 7 6.43 5.14 7.43 7.57 5.86 6.14 5.43 7.29 6.86 <td cols<="" td=""><td>Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 C5 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7</td></td>	<td>Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 C5 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7</td>	Subject Out of Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 C5 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C7 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C6 C6 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7

Department of Science and Humanities Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (A)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Dr. Ms. Leena Gahane	EP	(1) Mam is too fast in teaching I think Mam should communicate in Hindi while teaching and Mam should take extra classes for clearing dought or not understood conpects; (2) Maximum numericals has to be done; (3) Not able to understand physics???????; (4) I can't understand your teaching; (5) Good teaching easy method of teching; (6) No remark; (7) I can understand what she teaches clearly; (8) Please give some more time to submit assignment; (9) Very practical teacher and very vast knowledge about her subject and he is very innovative actually he motivate us to think out of the box; (10) Should teach slowly; (11) Thhoda slow explain krna chahiye aur numerical jyaada karaane chahiye; (12) Not satisfiedby her teaching; (13) Explaination should proper and didnt get satisfactory result; (14) Please revise one time For greater understanding; (15) Unable to understand; (16) Numericals;
Mrs. Tasneem Kausar H .Khan	EC .	(1) Very Good????; (2) Good teaching but Mam take too long lectures it's difficult to concentrate in class; (3) Gives satisfactory result; (4) Teacher with mind-blowing communication skill actually we enjoy her class a lot very precise and conceptual teaching awesome teacher; (5) is a role model for our class with his good behavior and also teaching; (6) Best-teaching about subject; (7) Completely amazing Mam is teaching wonderfully; (8) Awesome ??????; (9) Awsmmm??????; (10) Good way of teching.; (11) Good; (12) Chemical reaction; (13) Excellent;
Ms. Asia Ansari	M-1	(1) I am weak in maths stop embarassing in class; (2) Please mam don't skip the topic ??; (3) Very much control over class good interaction with students and good teaching; (4) Very precise manner of teaching good control over class; (5) Should explain Evey sum thoroughly; (6) Topic skip nahi kma chahiye; (7) Skipped topic should be explained afterwards; (8) Expalins every small things excillent result; (9) Mam is good but mam's control on class is little weak Mam is covering syllabus too fast And there must extra class for maths for clearing doughts; (10) Excellent; (11) Good; (12) Revise one time after compliting course for good score; (13) Skip topic should be taught; (14) Excellent;



Dr. Syric System Academics
Profession System Engl. & Tech.

1/.

127	٠	~	
120	-		10

Shahid Ansari	BEE	(1) Good??; (2) Very good ??; (3) Please sir speak loudly last bencher can't hear you; (4) Mind-blowing teacher very conceptual teaching I like very much his teaching; (5) Mind blowing teaching by sir; (6) Should teach slowly with a constant speed; (7) Mast; (8) Good; (9) Satisfactory result; (10) Respected Sir, Im belong to Electrical branch and I wish you teach us till the final year.; (11) Good; (12) Good; (13) Best; (14) Excellent;
Mrs. Rashmi Bade	BCE	(1) Slow ??; (2) Please mam speak loudly last bencher can't hear you & please explain in brief; (3) Very innovative teacher and actually his knowledge about subject is very deep I enjoy her teaching; (4) Numericals should be explained thoroughly and properly by solving all difficulties; (5) Teacher always friendly with student; (6) Very good teacher; (7) Nice teacher; (8) Very quick in syllabus completion and there must be dought clearing of numericals and revision of units and concepts clearing session; (9) Good; (10) Good; (11) Good; (12) Excillent;
Mr. Syed Mohiuddin Nizamuddin	EG-1	(1) It's better to teach under him But revision of previous units must be taken; (2) Very nice.; (3) Excellent; (4) Good; (5) Best; (6) Very nice;
Prof.Sadia Patka	cs	(1) Mam is not good in teaching She is covering syllabus very fast it's difficult to understand; (2) Not bad;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Dr. Ms. Leena Gahane	EP	(1) Please mam give more time to submit record & assignment; (2) Good command over practical; (3) Good Practical knowledge very innovative teacher I like her teaching very much; (4) It should be explained first by teacher in class; (5) Practical ache se samjhaana chahiye teacher ne kuch ache se perform nahi kr paate hum; (6) Not satisfied;
Mrs. Tasneern Kausar H .Khan	EC	(1) Very motivating and mind blowing knowledge of practicle chemistry; (2) We enjoy her class a lot very innovative leacher precise manner of teaching; (3) Excellent;
Shahid Ansari	BEE	(1) Knowledge of practiles are very deep and he relates practicle with theory in very precise manner overall excellent teacher, (2) Good relation between theory and practical very conceptual understanding of practical very good teacher, (3) Speep of Telling about topic Should slow; (4) Excillent;
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	(1) Very punctual sir; (2) Lot of knowledge of Communications;



26

1	21	13	/2	O,	18

Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	
Mrs. Rashmi Bade	BCE	(1) Very innovative teacher; (2) Excellent;
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	
Mr. Syed Mohiuddin Nizamuddin	EG-1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Prof.Sadia Patka	cs	

Dr. Syed Malanared Afi Professor & Elements Anjuman College of Engl. & Tech. Sadar, Nagyur



12/0/2010

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Science and Humanities Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (B)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Azafar Ahsan	EP	33	8.18	8,79	8.45	8.06	8.21	9.24	9.27	8.42	9.27	8.94	8.68
Mr.Mohammad Junaid	M-1	32	9.19	9.72	9.44	9.09	9.56	9.44	9.75	9.38	9.41	9.66	9.46
Shahid Ansari	BEE	32	9.16	9.59	9.72	9.25	9.72	9.28	8.25	9.22	9.5	9.62	9.33
Mr. Asim Ejaz Sheikh	BCE	34	9.12	9.5	9.47	9.62	9.56	8.71	8.56	9.68	9.44	9.68	9.33
Mr. Syed Mohiuddin Nizamuddin	EG-1	30	9.63	9.83	9,73	9.93	9.7	9.93	9.3	9.77	9.8	9.57	9.72
Dr. Shahbaz Khan	EC	32	8.81	8.62	8.84	8.78	8.66	9.09	9.56	8.97	9.5	8.31	8.92

Practical Feedback

Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
BCE	23	9.65	9.65	9.78	9.7	9.61	9.74	9.26	9.17	9.96	9.78	9.63
cs	22	9,14	9	8.82	9.41	9.41	8.68	9.45	9.09	8.68	9.23	9.09
BEE	24	9.12	9.67	9.88	9.54	9.75	8.96	9.29	9.46	9.29	9.83	9.48
EC	21	9.95	9.9	9.86	9.95	9.76	9.95	9.95	9.9	9.86	9.76	9.89
EP	26	9.19	9.92	8.96	9,62	9	9	9.5	9.5	9.19	9.73	9.36
COM.SKILLS	18	10	9.89	9.94	9.33	8.83	9.67	8.83	9.33	9.83	9.89	9.56
COM.SKILLS	12	9.92	8.58	9.42	7.58 A	8.08	8.92	8.08	8,92	8	9.83	8.73 18
	BCE CS BEE EC EP COM.SKILLS	BCE 23 CS 22 BEE 24 EC 21 EP 26 COM.SKILLS 18	BCE 23 9.65 CS 22 9.14 BEE 24 9.12 EC 21 9.95 EP 26 9.19 COM.SKILLS 18 10	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 CS 22 9.14 9 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 EC 21 9.95 9.9 EP 26 9.19 9.92 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 9.74 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 8.68 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 8.96 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 9.95 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 9 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83 9.67	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 9.74 9.26 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 8.68 9.45 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 8.96 9.29 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 9.95 9.95 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 9 9.5 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83 9.67 8.83	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 9.74 9.26 9.17 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 8.68 9.45 9.09 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 8.96 9.29 9.46 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 9.95 9.95 9.9 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 9 9.5 9.5 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83 9.67 8.83 9.33	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 9.74 9.26 9.17 9.96 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 8.68 9.45 9.09 8.68 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 8.96 9.29 9.46 9.29 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 9.95 9.95 9.9 9.86 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 9 9.5 9.5 9.19 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83 9.67 8.83 9.33 9.83	BCE 23 9.65 9.65 9.78 9.7 9.61 9.74 9.26 9.17 9.96 9.78 CS 22 9.14 9 8.82 9.41 9.41 8.68 9.45 9.09 8.68 9.23 BEE 24 9.12 9.67 9.88 9.54 9.75 8.96 9.29 9.46 9.29 9.83 EC 21 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.95 9.76 9.95 9.95 9.9 9.86 9.76 EP 26 9.19 9.92 8.96 9.62 9 9 9.5 9.5 9.19 9.73 COM.SKILLS 18 10 9.89 9.94 9.33 8.83 9.67 8.83 9.33 9.83 9.89

Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (B)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Azafar Ahsan	EP	(1) Sir write very small on board.; (2) Sir is best; (3) Teaching fast and writes very small hand writing that we cant see properly; (4) all thinks are good; (5) Sir is excellent in his teaching;
Mr.Mohammad Junaid	M-1	(1) 90; (2) No; (3) Very good at all. But if speed of syllabus want to increase.; (4) Nice teaching and helf in all difficulties which is part of syllabus as well as other college work and good class coordinator; (5) No remarks, sir is always best; (6) Going too fast teaching problem; (7) all things are good;
Shahid Ansari	BEE	(1) Magnitic circuit numricals; (2) Good at all.; (3) No; (4) Goods teaching and easily clear all difficulties in numericals problem; (5) Only reviesion needed other wise every thing is good; (6) Treats students friendly.;
Mr. Asim Ejaz Sheikh	BCE	(1) Surving in problem; (2) Speed of syllabus want to increase and good at all.; (3) No problem; (4) Best teacher in teaching and easily teach theory part; (5) Asim Sir is Best Teacher; (6) Teaching style is best; (7) Every thing is good; (8) Awesome teaching technique.;
Mr. Syed Mohiuddin Nizamuddin	EG-1	(1) 99; (2) Nothing ??; (3) Great energy and excellent teaching technique.;
Dr. Shahbaz Khan	EC	(1) Syllabus is going very slow. ; (2) No; (3) Spleed up course; (4) course is very slow; (5) Good Sir;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Asim Ejaz Sheikh	BCE	
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	
Shahid Ansari	BEE	
Dr. Shahbaz Khan	EC	
Mr. Azafar Ahsan	EP	0.
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	Why.
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	1967-

Or. Syed Mohammad All Or. Syed Mohammad Asidemics Profes of Eros & Tech Anjuman Saddi, Naupur

4

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Science and Humanities Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (C)

Theory	Feedback	
--------	----------	--

	10.11.4	0.1.1	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	7.05	6.68	5.82	6.6	6.45	6.82	5.5	7.62	7.92	6.62	6.71
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EC	41	7.46	7.66	7.15	8.34	8.73	8.63	7.1	8.66	8.27	7.85	7.99
Ms. Nazma Ahmed Mrs. Farha Yaşmeen	M-1	38	8.92	8.76	8.74	9.11	8.47	8.82	8.71	8.5	8.08	9.05	8.72 Jul
Shahid Ansari	BEE	38	9,16	9.32	9.26	9.21	9.21	9.18	8.87	9.05	9.18	9.29	9.17
Mr. Mohammad Ameenuddin	BCE	37	9.11	9.19	9.22	8.73	8.86	9.19	9.38	9	9.11	8.68	9.05
Mr. Mohammad Nematullah Nasim	EG-1	35	9.06	8.86	8.69	8.8	8.03	8.83	9,06	9.2	8.89	8.54	8.79

Practical	Feedback

Taction i Caraci	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Name of Faculty		31	7.55	7.26	6.45	6.74	6.29	7.35	6.55	7.42	6.97	6.84	6.94
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP	31	-	-	-	0.07	8.26	8.7	8.09	9.13	8.78	8.09	8.51 (Ahare
Ms. Nazma Ahmed	EC	23	8.61	9	7.61	8.87		-	-	-			311
	BEE	28	9,43	9.25	8.39	8.75	8.93	8.43	9.21	9.36	8.89	9.04	
Shahid Ansari	COM.SKILLS	17	9	9	8.47	8.12	8.29	7.76	8.29	8.35	8.18	8.24	8.37
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi		-	9	9.05	8.52	8.71	8.67	9.14	8.86	8.81	8.48	8.57	8.78
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	21	-		-	9,33	9	7.92	9	9.5	8.42	8.33	8.92
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	12	9.67	9.25	8.75	111	4-	-			7.15	8.08	7.27
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	cs	13	7,38	7.38	8.15	odemics	6.62	7,38	6.69	6.85	7.15	0.08	7.41

Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (C)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP	(1) We are unable to understand most of the portion due to improper explanation; (2) Have knowledge but not able to explain it perfectly; (3) Have knowledge but cant explain properly; (4) Unable to explain effective theory portion; (5) Conceptual part must be explained so that students can link them with the topic!!; (6) He should listen to the students properly what they are trying to convey.;
Ms. Nazma Ahmed	EC	(1) Very good teacher; (2) Good mam and my fav mam; (3) I am unable to understand until 1; (4) She doesn't know hiw to explain theory portion. And if cross questioning is done she dont knows the answers of the question.; (5) She Is the best class teacher I have ever meet.; (6) Needs to be more clear regarding concepts!;
Mrs. Farha Yasmeen	M-1	(1) Good teacher with great knowledge; (2) Good mam; (3) Everything is going; (4) She confuses while explaining.; (5) Best teacher; (6) Leads to confusion some times!!;
Shahid Ansari	BEE	(1) Everything is good; (2) Best sir . No comment; (3) The best faculty for BEE.;
Mr. Mohammad Ameenuddin	BCE	(1) Very good teacher; (2) Everything is good; (3) He has all the knowledge of the subject.;
Mr. Mohammad Nematullah Nasim	EG-1	(1) Well experienced teacher with great knoeledge; (2) I am unable to understand orthography;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments						
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP	(1) Good; (2) Not able to understand;						
Ms. Nazma Ahmed	EC	(1) She is the best.;						
Shahid Ansari	BEE							
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	(1) Good ; pich						
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	2 dy						
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs							
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	cs	(1) Good; (2) TEACHING IS GOOD;						

Or. Syed Mah. I mad All professor & Tour Engl. & Tech. Anjuman Critical Section (1) Engl. & Tech.

Á

Department of Science and Humanities Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (D)

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	QB	Q9	Q10	Feedb	ack Average
Mr. Zamir Khan	EP	49	9.67	9.76	9.02	9.47	9.33	8.98	9.65	9,59	9.65	9.47	9.46	Co Can
Mrs. Farha Yasmeen	M-1	50	9.44	9.24	9.24	9.46	9.4	9.24	9.22	9.44	9.64	9.34	9.37	Laule
Ms. Najma Ansari	BEE	47	9.51	9.36	9.21	9.38	9.09	9.28	9.53	9.74	9.49	9.53	9.41	
Dr.Nitu Gupta	EC	47	9.09	9.17	9	8.6	8.81	8.64	8.87	9.21	9.32	8.91	8.96	A.
Mr. Sujin S. George	BCE	51	9.39	9.45	9.53	9.55	9.25	9.25	8.75	9.49	9.24	9.49	9.34	
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwal	EG-1	48	9.73	9.44	9.25	9.4	9.29	9.1	9.42	9.58	9.5	9.67	9.44	

Practical Feedback													
Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Zamir Khan	EP	39	9.49	9.38	8.95	9.05	9.36	8.9	9.23	8.72	9.28	9.77	9.21
Ms. Najma Ansari	BEE	37	8.97	8.78	8.7	9.41	8.84	9.35	9.3	9.08	9.46	9.24	9.11
Dr.Nitu Gupta	EC	35	8.89	8.49	8.6	9.23	9.2	9,31	9.26	8.86	9.14	9.26	9.02
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	30	9.2	9.1	8.97	8.9	9.33	9.3	9.13	8.8	9.13	9.5	9.14 NEm.
Mr. Sujin S. George	BCE	28	8.46	8.82	8,96	9.21	8.89	9.61	9.54	9.11	9.46	9.07	9.11
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	9	9	8.67	8.11	7	7.56	7.67	7	7.44	6.89	7	7.63
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	25	8.72	7.84	8.96	8.4	9.04	9.2	9.24	8.16	8.76	9.4	8.77
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwali	EG-1	20	8.85	9.45	9	9.9	9.8	9.8	9.2	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.53
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	cs	4	4.75	9.5	a	7.25	8	6	5	8.25	5.25	7.75	7.18
Prof. Samina Anjum	cs	15	8.93	8.47	8.2	8.87	9:41 41	8.53	8.2	8 13	8.73	0.33	1.00

Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (D)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Zamir Khan	EP	(1) Everything is fantastic; (2) Sir ur are awesome; (3) 7; (4) NO; (5) 8; (6) 8; (7) Very good; (8) 10; (9) Excellent Teaching; (10) 7; (11) Please complete the syllabus with full knowledge mainly semiconductor Thankyou sir;
Mrs. Farha Yasmeen	M-1	(1) Increase teaching speed only two questions are completed in one leacture; (2) 5; (3) 7; (4) V . good; (5) 09; (6) Excellent Teaching; (7) 8; (8) 9; (9) 6; (10) mam teaching good as well; (11) Osm teaching with good behavior; (12) So nice;
Ms. Najma Ansari	BEE	(1) Very good; (2) 9; (3) Excellent Teaching; (4) 7; (5) 5; (6) 8; (7) 9; (8) good teaching; (9) Strict but best; (10) Please gives us all knowledge (extra) about all topics wheather topic is coming in exam or not Thankyou mam;
Dr.Nitu Gupta	EC	(1) 6; (2) 9; (3) Excellent Teaching; (4) 6; (5) 9; (6) 7; (7) The best teacher; (8) Nice; (9) Please gives all extra knowledge about the topics Thankyou;
Mr. Sujin S George	BCE	(1) 5; (2) 6; (3) Very good; (4) 9; (5) Excellent Teaching; (6) 7; (7) 8; (8) 8; (9) good teachinng; (10) Best; (11) The best teacher I ever get; (12) Nice; (13) Please gives us all knowledge about topics.;
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwal	EG-1	(1) 6; (2) 7; (3) 10; (4) Excellent Teaching; (5) 9; (6) Very very good; (7) 8; (8) 8; (9) Best teaching; (10) good and well teaching; (11) Appriciable; (12) Please gives us all knowledge about topics. And also with

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Zamir Khan	EP	(1) Excellent; (2) 6; (3) 9; (4) 7; (5) 10;
Ms. Najma Ansari	BEE	(1) Excellent Teaching; (2) 7; (3) 8; (4) 9; (5) 10;
Dr.Nilu Gupta	EC	(1) Excellent; (2) 8; (3) 9; (4) 10;
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	(1) 8; (2) 7; (3) 10; (4) 6; po lep.
Mr. Sujin S. George	BCE	(1) 7; (2) Good;
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	(1) 8;
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	(1) Excellent ; (2) 6; (3) 8;
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwal	EG-1	(1) Superb;
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	cs	* _ N
Prof. Samina Anjum	cs	

Or. Syed Minimad All
Protessor Society Error & Tach.
Anjuman Commission

A

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Report (Session: 04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (E)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	QZ	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Akil Ahmad	BEE	33	9.3	8.94	8.94	9.18	9.18	9.52	9.48	9.45	8.85	8.97	9.18
Mr. Afzal Hanif Sharif	BCE	34	9.88	9.94	9.21	9.62	9.71	9.82	9.5	9.71	9.85	9.97	7300
Or, Tanveer Quazi	EP	35	7.83	7.46	6.94	6.91	6.89	8.14	6.69	8.23	8.11	7.91	9.72
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwal	EG-1	32	9.5	9.28	9.31	9.56	9.06	9.56	9.28	9.41	9.5	9.47	7.51
or.Nitu Gupta	EC	34	9.41	9.15	9.21	8.79	9.06	9.32	8.94	9.15	8.79		9.39
As. Asia Ansari	M-1	35	9.57	9.06	9.34	9.09	9.57	8.91	8.54	9.31	9 11	9.18	9.1

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Qg	040	
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP	26	8.92	8.77	8.27	8.31	8.54	8.69	8.65		+	Q10	Feedback Average
fr. Akil Ahmad	BEE	24	9.38	8.96	9.12	8.62	8.67		-	8,88	8.85	8.54	8.64
Dr.Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	3	-		3.12		-	8.83	9.38	8.88	8.67	9.29	8.98 Atic
			5.67	5.67	7	4.67	6.33	5.33	6.33	5.33	6.33	6.67	5.93 Na-
Prof. Naisha Taban	cs	6	8.67	8.33	8.5	9	7.67	8	7.83	8.33	8.83	8.5	8.37
fr. Afzal Hanif Sharif	BCE	22	9.68	9.55	9,77	9.05	9.45	8.95	9.18	9.5	9.77	9.77	
r.Nitu Gupta	EC	21	9.29	9.29	9.14	9.24	8.48	8.48	-	1335			9.47
Ar. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	22	9.95		-	-	-	100	8.71	8.43	9.48	8.86	8.94
			0.95	9.64	9.68	9	9.09	9.32	9.5	9.27	9.45	9.59	9.45
rof, Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	CS	8	8.75	7,38	8.12	6.88	8.88	7.62	8.38	7.5	8.62	7.88	8



Department of Science and Humanities

Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

UG Sem:1 (E)

Comments on Theory Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Mr. Akil Ahmad	BEE	(1) Very nice and most interesting subject, thanku sir. ??; (2) Nice teacher.;
Mr. Afzal Hanif Sharif	BCE	(1) Best teaching:
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP	(1) Good;
Mr. Ravindra R. Paliwal	EG-1	
Dr.Nitu Gupta	EC	(1) Nitu mam my favorite one teacher. ????;
Ms. Asia Ansari	M-1	(1) Great teacher;

Comments on Practical Subjects

NameofFaculty	SubjectName	Comments
Dr. Tanveer Quazi	EP ·	
Mr. Akil Ahmad	BEE :	(1) 0;
Or,Mrs. Nuzhat Rizvi	COM.SKILLS	(1) 0,

1

1	2	1	3/	20	1	8	

Prof. Naisha Taban	CS	
Mr. Afzal Hanif Sharif	BCE	(1) Best teaching;
Dr.Nitu Gupta	EC	
Mr. Abdul Sajid Quazi	COM.SKILLS	Sile
Prof. Sayeda Kaneez Khatoon	cs	7.

Or. Syed Mohammad All Professor 6 Description 3 Tech. Anjuman Collection Nagest

E.

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

PG Sem:1 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedback Average
Mr. Khwaja Ramizuddin	AOC	8	9.12	8.38	8.5	8.25	9.25	8.5	7.88	7.88	9.25	8.25	8.52
Mr. Rahil Khan	СТТ	8	9	8.38	8.38	9.25	9 .	9.25	9.38	9.25	9.12	9.5	9.05
Ms. Iram Nausheen	ADC	7	9	9.29	8.71	9.29	9.29	9	9.29	9.29	9.14	9.29	9.16
Mrs. Ruhina Quazi	AIP	8	9.38	9.5	9.62	9.25	9.38	9	9.38	9.38	8.88	9.25	9.3
Mrs. Mohsina Anjum	SCT	7	8.71	8.71	7.86	9.14	: 9	9.14	8.57	8.57	8.86	9.14	8.77

Practical Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedba	ck Average
Mr. Rahil Khan	AOC	6	8.83	8.5	9.17	9 .	9	8.67	8.67	8.83	8.33	9	8.8	
Ms. Iram Nausheen	ADC	6	9.33	9.33	9.5	9.5	9.33	9.67	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.33	9.45	L



MIN A Mohammad Min Protessor A Technology & Technology Ariuman Casasa Market & Technology

1

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Feedback Report (Session:04-10-2018)

PG Sem:3 (A)

Theory Feedback

Name of Faculty	Subject	Out of	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7,	Q8	Q9	Q10	Feedb	ack Average
Dr. Akash .M. Langde	(F-II) PPM	5	6.6	6.4	6.8	5.2	5.4	5.8	6.4	5	7.2	5.8	6.06	discuss (S
Mr. Akbar Nagani	WSN	5	8	7.4	8	7.8	8.4 -	8.2	8.6	7	7.2	7.4	7.8	4

Dr. Syed Mehammad All Professor & Conf. Academics Anjuman College of Engg. & Tech. Sadar, Magpur

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Library

Questions	Yes %	No %
Is your visit to the library regular?	79.3	20.7
Are you satisfied with available titles of particular subject in the library?	88.7	11.3
Is digital library section/multimedia section available?	82.5	17.5
Is reading space sufficient in the library?	87.5	12.5
Are the staffs of library co-operative and helpful?	88.4	11.6
Is cleanliness & ambience maintained in library?	95.1	4.9
Is lighting & ventilation proper?	88.4	11.6

Dr. Syed Mork himad All Dr. Syed Mork himad All Professor & Dean Academics Professor & Read Regge & Tech. Anjuman College of Engg. & Sadar, Nagpur

X

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Administration

Questions	Yes %	No %
Is the staff of the office helpful?	81.8	18.2
Do you receive all the required documents on time?	81.8	18.2
Is the drinking water properly provided	83.0	17.0
Are the washrooms cleaned properly	72.7	27.3
Do the scholarship staff helping you in form submission with required document	80.4	19.6
Does accounts section providing you good help	84.8	15.2
Are the classrooms clean?	84.3	15.7
Are you satisfied with available sport facilities?	83.0	17.0
Are you satisfied with technical training provided by college?	83.1	16.9
Are you satisfied with non technical training provided by college?	83.4	16.6
Are you satisfied with Placement opportunities provided by college?(for final year students)	74.3	25.7

Dr. Syed Mohammad All Professor & Dean Academics Professor & Dean Academics Anjuman College of Engg. & Tech. Sadar, Nagpur

Anjuman College of Engineering and Technology

Laboratory

Questions	Yes %	No %
Were the instruments/computers in good condition while you were experimenting?	88.4	11.6
Is the lab facilities/software's if any required, appropriate?	84.9	15.1
Were the Numbers of practicals conducted according to the syllabus?	92.3	7.7
Is lab assistant having proper knowledge?	85.3	14.7
Is lab Assistant helpful?	89.0	11.0
Was the Cleanliness properly maintained in lab?	89.5	10.5

Dr. Syed Mohammad All Professor & Dean Academics Professor & Dean Academics Anjuman College of Engg. & Tech. Sadar, Nagpur

